

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 11-29 are pending in this application, Claims 1-10 having previously been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer; Claims 20-29 having been added; and Claims 11, 12, and 17-19 having been presently amended. Support for amended Claims 11, 12, and 17-19 can be found, for example, in the original claims, drawings, and specification as originally filed. No new matter has been added.

In the outstanding Office Action, the specification was objected to due to informalities; and Claims 11-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as indefinite. Claims 11-19 were indicated as allowable if amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the indication of allowable subject matter.

In response to the objection to the specification, Applicant has amended the specification to include the appropriate headings. Further, Applicant has submitted an additional copy of the specification for the Examiner's convenience. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the objection to the specification has been overcome.

In response to the rejection of Claims 11-19 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, Applicant has amended Claims 11-19 to correct the noted informalities. In regard to Claim 11, this claim has been amended to recite that the balancing device is attached to a suspended element. Thus, Claim 11 is directed to a combination of a balancing device and a suspended element.

In regard to Claim 15, the British English meaning for the word "bush" is equivalent to the meaning of the word "bushing" as understood in America. Thus, Applicant

respectfully submits that person ordinarily skilled in the art reading the present application would understand the meaning of the term "bush" used throughout the application.

In regard to Claims 17, 18, and 19, Applicant has amended these claims to correct the noted informality stated in the outstanding Office Action.


Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the rejection of Claims 11-19 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph be withdrawn.

In order to vary the scope of protection recited in the claims, new Claims 20-29 are added. New Claims 20-29 find non-limiting support in the disclosure as originally filed, for example in the original claims and at page 7, line 1 to page 16, line 23 of the specification. Therefore, the changes to the claims are not believed to raise a question of new matter.<sup>1</sup>

Consequently, in view of the present amendment, and in light of the above discussion, the pending claims as presented herewith are believed to be in condition for formal allowance, and an early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Gregory J. Maier  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

**22850**

Tel: (703) 413-3000  
Fax: (703) 413 -2220  
(OSMMN 08/07)

Ronald A. Rudder  
Registration No. 45,618

I:\ATTY\DPB\25\s\258774US\258774US-AM-DUE-12-24-07.DOC

---

<sup>1</sup> See MPEP 2163.06 stating that "information contained in any one of the specification, claims or drawings of the application as filed may be added to any other part of the application without introducing new matter."